

NON-PRECEDENTIAL DECISION – SEE SUPERIOR COURT I.O.P 65.37

J.S.,	:	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF
	:	PENNSYLVANIA
Appellee	:	
v.	:	
B.S.,	:	
Appellant	:	No. 2168 MDA 2013

Appeal from the Order entered November 7, 2013,
Court of Common Pleas, Dauphin County,
Civil Division at No. 2011 CV 10430 DC

BEFORE: BENDER, P.J.E., DONOHUE and STRASSBURGER*, JJ.

CONCURRING STATEMENT BY STRASSBURGER, J.: **FILED APRIL 11, 2014**

I agree with the Majority's ultimate disposition of this appeal. I write separately to make clear that there is nothing in the record in this case that demonstrates that B.S. exercised poor judgment in allowing his post-separation paramours to spend nights at his home. He is not required to put his life on hold in order to have periods of custody of his daughter. However, because the trial court's analysis of the evidence and the custody factors otherwise supports the portions of the custody order affirmed by the Majority, I concur.

*Retired Senior Judge assigned to the Superior Court.